

REMARKS

In the above-identified Office communication, it was noted that Claim 3 was indicated as having been “withdrawn” when in fact it had been cancelled by an Examiner’s Amendment. Accordingly, by this response Claim 3 is now identified as “cancelled”.

As noted in the Amendment dated June 22, 2005, Claim 4 was revised in that Amendment because an inadvertent error was noted in Claim 4 wherein, in the next-to-last line, the word “lower” was incorrectly substituted for the word “higher”. In particular, the claim states that a number of bits in the second mode “for high gradation reading is lower than a number of bits in the first mode”. Instead, at Page 16 of the Specification it states that in the second mode for high gradation reading the number of bits is higher than in the first mode. Accordingly, Claim 4 has been corrected to change “lower” to higher in the next-to-last line.

Applicants’ undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant

John A. Krause

Registration No. 24,613

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200